Application No.: 10/590,012 Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111 Art Unit: 3663

Attorney Docket No.: 062807

REMARKS

Please reconsider the application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following

remarks.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-6 are pending in the present application. Claims 2 and 5 are withdrawn from

consideration. Claims 1 and 3 are herein amended. Claims 4 and 6 are herein cancelled. New

claims 7-11 have been added. Support for new claim 7 can be found in paragraph [0053] and in

Figure 3. Support for claims 8-11 can be found on paragraphs [0061]-[0063]. No new matter

has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants note with appreciation the Examiners thorough consideration of the references

cited in the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted on August 21, 2006, December 7,

2007, March 14, 2008 and April 28, 2008.

Specification

The Office Action requests that "HPT3" be replaced "LPT3". However, Applicants

respectfully notify the Examiner that this correction was made on page 3 of the Preliminary

Amendment filed concurrently with the application on August 21, 2006.

"Units of compressors" recited in the claims is amended to "compressors".

- 7 -

Application No.: 10/590,012 Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111
Art Unit: 3663 Attorney Docket No.: 062807

Thiomby Booker No.: 0020

Accordingly, Applicants request that this objection be withdrawn.

Claim Objections

The Office Action, on page 3, item 6, has objected to claims 4 and 6 because of

informality in line 3 of claim 4 and line 2 of claim 6. Claims 4 and 6 are herein cancelled.

Accordingly, the Applicants request that this objection be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections -35 U, S. C. § 112

"A bypass pathway that has the second gas turbine bypasses to the coolant" recited in

Claim 1 is amended to "a bypass pathway that allows the coolant to bypass the third gas turbine",

Therefore, the bypass pathway recited in claim 1 is clear and satisfies the enablement

requirement. Furthermore, claim 3 depends on claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants request that the

rejection be withdrawn. Furthermore, above also addresses this issue in new claims 8-11.

Claim 4 and Claim 6 are cancelled. Accordingly, Applicants request that the rejection in

items 9, 12 and 13 of the Office Action be withdrawn.

Furthermore, ne claims 8 to 11 are presented to clear the structure of the gas turbine plant

which includes a plural number of gas turbines, a plural number of shafts, a plural number

compressors and a bypass pathway; or includes a plural number of gas turbines, a plural number

shafts, a plural number compressors and the plural number.

- 8 -

Application No.: 10/590,012 Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111
Art Unit: 3663 Attorney Docket No.: 062807

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1, 3 and 4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by McLain

et al. (Reactor Handbook, Vol. IV, Interscience Publishers, John Wiley & Sons, 1964, McLain

hereinafter). Applicants submit that claims as amended are patentably distinguishable from the

teachings of McLain.

Claim 1 relates to a gas turbine plant. The gas turbine plant includes a first gas turbine

that is rotated by the coolant being warmed by the high-temperature gas-cooled reactor and

shares a first shaft with a first compressor compressing the coolant, and a second gas turbine that

is rotated by the coolant being discharged from the first gas turbine and shares a second shaft

with a second compressor compressing the coolant. Therefore, one gas turbine couples one

compressor via one shaft in claim1.

McLain et al., ("Reactor Handbook", V. IV, Interscience Publication, Wiley & Son, 1964,

McLain hereinafter) discloses a reactor plant which includes a HP turbine and an LP turbine. The

HP turbine is rotated by the coolant being warmed by a reactor, and shares a same shaft with a

HP compressor compressing the coolant and an LP compressor. The LP turbine is rotated by the

coolant being discharged from the HP turbine and shares a same shaft with a generator. Figure

18.8 in McLain disclose the HP turbine that shares the same shaft with the HP compressor and

the LP compressor. However, McLain does not disclose one gas turbine couples one compressor

via one shaft.

In addition, the LP turbine in McLain shares a same shaft with a generator. This

composition of LP turbine is different from the composition of the second gas turbine in claim 1.

- 9 -

Application No.: 10/590,012 Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111 Attorney Docket No.: 062807

Art Unit: 3663

Furthermore, McLain does not disclose the second gas turbine that is rotated by the

coolant being discharged from the first gas turbine and shares a second shaft with a second

compressor compressing the coolant in claim 1.

As explained above, McLain does not disclose the characteristic feature of claim 1.

Therefore, Claim 1 is novel over the disclosure of McLain and should be allowable.

Because Mclain reference does not disclose each and every element and limitation recited

in claim 1, applicant submits that McLain reference does not anticipate these claims 1 and 3.

Accordingly, applicant requests that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections -35 U, S.C. 103

Claims 4 and 6 were rejected as being obvious over McLain, in view of Bolton et at. (US

2004/0042579). However, Claims 4 and 6 are cancelled.

In addition, New Claims 8 to 11 are different from McLain as claim 1. Bolton does not

disclose a bypass pathway that allows the coolant to bypass the third gas turbine in claim 1, the

"n"th gas turbine in claim 8, or the "n-1"th gas turbine and the "n"th gas turbine in claim 10.

Furthermore, McLain and Bolton also fail to disclose the characteristic feature of claim 1.

Claim 8 and Claim 10, and even if the disclosure of McLain and Bolton are combined, the

composition of claim 1, claim 8 and claim 10 cannot be obtained. Accordingly, Applicants

respectfully point out that prospective rejection with regards to new claims 8-11 has also been

addressed.

- 10 -

Application No.: 10/590,012 Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111

Art Unit: 3663 Attorney Docket No.: 062807

Conclusion

The Claims have been shown to be allowable over the prior art. Applicants believe that

this paper is responsive to each and every ground of rejection cited in the Office Action dated

October 30, 2008 and respectfully request favorable action in this application. The Examiner is

invited to telephone the undersigned, applicants' attorney of record, to facilitate advancement of

the present application.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Robert Y. Raheja

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 59,274

Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

RYR/bam

- 11 -